

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/066,339

Applicant(s)

ZHAO ET AL.

Examiner

Sherali Ishrat

Art Unit

2624

All Participants:

(1) Sherali Ishrat.

(2) J.D Harriman.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 October 2006

Time: 1:00PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1 and 6

Prior art documents discussed:

na

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



ISHRAT SHERALI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that because Applicant has incorporated allowable subject matter in independent claim 1, the application is in condition for allowance. Applicant needs to cancel non-elected claims, in claim 1, line 8 second occurrence of y should be y' and in claim 6, "7" should be greek letter as was presented in the original claim 6. Applicant agreed to make changes by the examiner's amendment.